ANN BAVENDER JAMES A. CASEY ANNE GOODWIN CRUMP' VINCENT J. CURTIS, JR. PAUL J. FELDMAN' ERIC FISHMAN' RICHARD HILDRETH EDWARD W. HUMMERS, JR. FRANK R. JAZZO CHARLES H. KENNEDY KATHRYN A KLEIMAN PATRICIA A. MAHONEY M. VERONICA PASTOR GEORGE PETRUTSAS LEONARD R. RAISH JAMES P. RILEY MARVIN ROSENBERG KATHLEEN VICTORY HOWARD M. WEISS NOT ADMITTED IN VIRGINIA

FLETCHER, HEALD & HILDRETH, P.L.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

11th FLOOR, 1300 NORTH 17th STREET ROSSLYN, VIRGINIA 22209

(703) 812-0400

TELECOPIER

(703) 812-0486

INTERNET

HILDRETH@ATTMAIL.COM

ROBERT L. HEALD (1956-1993) PAUL D.P. SPEARMAN (1936-1992) FRANK ROBERSON (1936-1961) RUSSELL ROWELL (1948-1977)

RETIRED EDWARD F. KENEHAN FRANK U. FLETCHER

RECEIVE INTERNATIONAL AND SHEET OF THE SHEET

FEB 2 4 1995 OF COUNSEL MARD A. CAINE

WRITER'S NUMBER

OFFICE OF SECRETARY 0471

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

February 24, 1995

BY HAND DELIVERY

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re:

MD Docket No. 95-3

Regulatory Fees for Fiscal Year 1995

Dear Mr. Caton:

Transmitted herewith on behalf of WNAL-TV, Inc. are an original and four copies of its "Reply Comments" in the above-referenced proceeding.

Should any questions arise concerning this matter, please communicate with the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

FLETCHER, HEALD & HILDRETH, P.L.C.

Unne Soodwin Gump

Anne Goodwin Crump

Counsel for

WNAL-TV, Inc.

Enclosures

No. of Copies rec'd List A B C D E

BEFORE THE

Jederal Communications CommissiqUECEIVED

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
Assessment and Collection of Regulatory Fees for Fiscal Year 1995)))	MD DOCKET NO. 95-3
Directed to: The Commission	,	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINA

REPLY COMMENTS

WNAL-TV, Inc. ("WNAL-TV"), licensee of Station WNAL-TV, Gadsden, Alabama, by its attorneys, hereby respectfully submits its Reply Comments with regard to the proposed schedule of regulatory fees for fiscal year 1995 as set forth in the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 95-14, released January 12, 1995. With respect thereto, the following is stated:

These Reply Comments respond to the "Joint Comments" of Withers Broadcasting Company of Texas ("Withers"), Victoria Vision, Inc. ("VVI"), and South Jersey Radio, Inc. ("South Jersey"), submitted in the above-captioned proceeding on February 13, 1995. In their "Joint Comments," Withers, VVI, and South Jersey demonstrate that the Commission's exclusive reliance upon Arbitron market designations to determine regulatory fees is inequitable to stations operating in small, single county markets distant from the principal city of the Arbitron ADI. Withers, Victoria Vision, and South Jersey pointed out that Arbitron data is obsolete, and that Arbitron's ADI designations do not necessarily reflect the market actually served by small stations located at the edge of large ADI's.

- 2. As a solution, Withers, VVI, and South Jersey propose that if a television station could certify that its Grade B contour does not encompass the reference co-ordinate for the largest city in its Arbitron ADI or Nielsen DMA television market, then it would be required to pay the regulatory fee amount prescribed for "Remaining Markets." Withers, VVI, and South Jersey attached a list of stations whose Grade B contours do not reach the principal city of the relevant ADI.
- 3. Overall, WNAL-TV is in agreement with the proposal set forth by Withers, VVI, and South Jersey. WNAL-TV advocated a similar approach in "Joint Comments" filed with Mid-State Television, Inc. WNAL-TV noted, however, that its station was omitted from the list of stations in the Withers comments whose Grade B contours do not reach the principal city of the ADI. WNAL-TV is, however, another station which is so situated. Accordingly, WNAL-TV should be included on the list of affected stations.

4. WNAL-TV reiterates that the Commission's regulatory fee schedule is unfair to small stations located at the fringe of large markets. Fundamental fairness requires changes in the regulatory fee schedule to take into account the special situation of these stations. Use of the Grade B contour as set forth by Withers, VVI, and South Jersey is one method by which the regulatory fee schedule could be made more equitable. Additional possibilities, as set forth in the Joint Comments of WNAL-TV and Mid-Station Television, include the use of audience share figures or population within the Grade B contour. Adoption of any one of these options would result in a more equitable and less arbitrary regulatory fee schedule.

Respectfully submitted,

WNAL-TV, INC.

By:

Howard M. Weiss

Anne Goodwin Crump

Its Attorneys

FLETCHER, HEALD & HILDRETH, P.L.C. 1300 North 17th Street Eleventh Floor Rosslyn, Virginia 22209 (703) 812-0400

February 24, 1995

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Roberta Wadsworth, a secretary in the law firm of Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, P.L.C. do hereby certify that true copies of the foregoing "Reply Comments" were sent this 24th day of February, 1995, by first class United States mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Dennis J. Kelly, Esquire
Cordon & Kelly
P. O. Box 6648
Annapolis, Maryland 21401
Counsel for Withers Broadcasting Company,
VictoriaVision, Inc. and South Jersey Radio, Inc.

Roberta Wadsworth